ISSN- 2395-7522 (Online), Imp. Fact.6.21 (IIJF)
Literary Cognizance:An International Refereed / Peer Reviewed
e - Journal of English Language, Literature & Criticism
Vol. – VI, Issue-4, March 2026

19

DIGITAL DISCOURSE AND LANGUAGE EVOLUTION: THE IMPACT OF SOCIAL MEDIA ON CONTEMPORARY ENGLISH COMMUNICATION

Dr. Sharon Samson[1]
Assistant Professor, Department of English, Faculty of Science and Humanities, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur, Tamil Nadu, India
&
Dr. Govind Prasad Goyal[2]
Dean Students' Welfare, IMS Noida, India

Abstract

This paper examines how social media platforms have fundamentally altered the structure, semantics, and pragmatics of contemporary English communication. Drawing on corpus linguistics, sociolinguistics, and digital media theory, the study investigates the mechanisms by which platform-specific affordances—character limits, algorithmic curation, hashtag systems, and multimodal composition environments—have accelerated lexical innovation, eroded formal grammatical conventions, and produced entirely new paralinguistic systems including emoji, GIF-based expression, and reaction culture. Particular attention is given to the democratization of neologism formation, the emergence of internet-specific registers, the role of African American Vernacular English (AAVE) in shaping mainstream digital vernacular, and the speed at which digital coinages migrate into standard usage. The paper further addresses tensions between linguistic prescriptivism and descriptivism in the digital age, the psychological and cognitive dimensions of compressed communication, and the global implications of English-language digital hegemony. Ultimately, this study argues that social media does not degrade language but rather represents the most dynamic and accelerated instance of organic language evolution in recorded history.

Keywords

Digital Discourse, Language Evolution, Social Media Linguistics, Neologism, Paralinguistic Communication, Internet Register, etc.

Full Article

Introduction:

Language has never been static. From the Norman Conquest's wholesale injection of French vocabulary into Old English, to the printing press standardizing orthography, to the global diffusion of English through colonial expansion, the history of the language is a chronicle of perpetual disruption and adaptation. Yet few transformations have been as rapid, as far-reaching, or as democratically participatory as the revolution wrought by social media in the first quarter of the twenty-first century. Within the span of two decades, platforms such as Twitter (now X), Instagram, TikTok, Reddit, and Facebook have not merely created new venues for communication—they have created new languages within a language.

The stakes of understanding this transformation are considerable. Linguists, educators, journalists, and policymakers grapple daily with questions about what counts as proper English, how to assess literacy in an era of emoji and memes, and what it means for global communication when a slang term coined in a Black American online community in 2012 appears in the Oxford

ISSN- 2395-7522 (Online), Imp. Fact.6.21 (IIJF)
Literary Cognizance:An International Refereed / Peer Reviewed
e - Journal of English Language, Literature & Criticism
Vol. – VI, Issue-4, March 2026

English Dictionary by 2018. These are not trivial aesthetic debates; they are questions about authority, access, and the future architecture of human meaning-making.

This paper argues that social media has not corrupted English but has instead catalyzed its most accelerated and democratic evolutionary episode. The mechanisms of this evolution—platform affordances, virality, community formation, and cross-cultural mixing—are examined across five interconnected domains: lexical innovation and neologism formation; the transformation of grammar and syntax; the emergence of new paralinguistic systems; the politics of who shapes digital language; and the global implications of English-language digital dominance. Through close engagement with current scholarship in corpus linguistics, sociolinguistics, and internet studies, this paper demonstrates that digital discourse constitutes a genuinely new chapter in the history of English.

Platform Affordances and Their Linguistic Consequences:

Any serious analysis of digital language must begin not with words but with architecture. The structural features of social media platforms—what scholars of digital media call "affordances"—exert powerful shaping pressures on the language produced within them. As Crystal observes, "the internet has given language a new opportunity to be creative" in ways that are directly traceable to the technical constraints and possibilities platforms impose (Crystal 51). Twitter's original 140-character limit, subsequently expanded to 280, is perhaps the most discussed example of how arbitrary technical decisions cascade into linguistic norms.

Character limits incentivize compression. Users adapted by developing abbreviations ("tbh" for "to be honest," "imo" for"in my opinion," "idk" for "I don't know"), dropping articles and auxiliary verbs, and repurposing punctuation. The period at the end of a tweet, for instance, gradually acquired a tone of coldness or passive aggression that it does not carry in standard written English—a semantic drift engineered not by grammarians but by millions of users collectively reading emotional cues into formatting (McCulloch, 89). Similarly, the absence of capitalization in digital writing shifted from being a typographical error to functioning as a register marker indicating casualness or ironic detachment. As McCulloch argues, "lowercase became a tone, not a mistake" (McCulloch, 103).

Instagram's visual-first design elevated the caption as a genre, producing a distinctive blend of first-person confessional prose, strategic hashtaggery, and aspirational vocabulary. The hashtag itself, migrated from IRC channels into mainstream awareness through Twitter, fundamentally altered how language could function categorically. A hashtag does not merely label content; it simultaneously situates a post within a conversation, creates searchable archives, enables coordinated movements (as seen in #BlackLivesMatter and #MeToo), and can itself become ironic or comedic in ways that blur the line between content and metacommentary (Zappavigna 1).

TikTok's algorithm-driven video format has introduced yet another set of pressures. The platform rewards hooks—the first few seconds of a video must be linguistically arresting—and has produced a new oral rhetoric characterized by sharp declarative openings, direct address, and rapid pivot structures. The phrase "POV:" (point of view), originally a filmmaking term, became in TikTok's ecosystem a narrative invitation and a recognized genre signal. Similarly, the platform's caption culture, operating alongside rather than describing its videos, developed its own compressive idiom. These platform-specific mutations are not incidental; they demonstrate that language is co-produced by the technical systems through which it flows.

Lexical Innovation: The Accelerating Coinage Machine:

The most immediately visible dimension of social media's impact on English is the explosion of new vocabulary. Neologism formation has always been a feature of English—Shakespeare coined

ISSN- 2395-7522 (Online), Imp. Fact.6.21 (IIJF)
Literary Cognizance:An International Refereed / Peer Reviewed
e - Journal of English Language, Literature & Criticism
Vol. – VI, Issue-4, March 2026

hundreds of words still in use—but social media has democratized and accelerated the process to an unprecedented degree. Bergs and Pfenninger note that "the rate of new word formation in digital environments exceeds anything observable in pre-digital corpora by orders of magnitude" (Bergs and Pfenninger, 214). This acceleration is driven by several interlocking mechanisms: the viral spread of new coinages, the low threshold for coinage creation, the community-based ratification of new terms, and the rapid migration of successful neologisms from niche communities to mainstream usage.

The morphological creativity of digital English is striking. Affixation has been richly exploited: the suffix "-able" generates terms like "stan-able" and "ship-able"; "-ish" functions as a broad approximator; "-core" (cottagecore, normcore, goblincore) constructs aesthetic subcultures; and the prefix "de-" combined with gerunds produces ironic self-awareness ("deinfluencing," "decentering"). Blending remains productive, generating portmanteaus like "finsta" (fake + Instagram) and "situationship" (situation + relationship). Back-formation, semantic broadening, and semantic narrowing all operate at speed. The word "slay," long standard English meaning to kill, underwent a dramatic semantic expansion in Black queer communities that was then widely adopted to mean performing excellently or with confidence—a journey from niche argot to mainstream dictionary entry accomplished in years rather than decades (Green, 128).

Equally significant is the role of memetic language—words and phrases that achieve currency primarily because they are attached to viral images or video formats. "This is fine," accompanying a dog sitting in a burning room, entered English as shorthand for stoic denial in the face of catastrophe. "No cap" (meaning "no lie" or "genuinely"), "lowkey," "snatched," "understood the assignment," and "main character energy" all achieved rapid, wide adoption through social media amplification. These terms carry cultural cargo; to use them is not merely to communicate information but to signal affiliation, awareness, and participation in shared digital culture.

The speed of lexical migration from digital spaces to dictionaries has itself become a topic of meta-linguistic commentary. Merriam-Webster and Oxford English Dictionary now maintain social media monitoring programs and have substantially shortened their vetting timelines. The OED added "selfie" in 2013, just six years after the word began circulating digitally; "binge-watch" followed in 2015; "FOMO" (fear of missing out) in 2013; "gig economy" in 2015; and "deepfake" in 2021. This institutional responsiveness reflects a recognition that lexicographic authority must adapt to a world in which language communities generate and ratify vocabulary at digital speed (Algeo and Pyles, 78).

Grammar, Syntax, and the New Punctuation Semiotics:

Critics who lament social media's impact on English grammar typically point to declining comma usage, sentence fragmentation, and the disappearance of formal structures. These observations are empirically verifiable but analytically incomplete. What appears as grammatical decay from a prescriptive standpoint is, from a descriptive sociolinguistic perspective, the emergence of new grammatical conventions calibrated to new communicative contexts. As Trudgill argues, "there is no such thing as linguistic decay, only linguistic change" (Trudgill, 34), and the changes visible in social media language are remarkably systematic.

Punctuation has undergone particularly dramatic semiotic reloading. In Standard Written English, the period closes a sentence; in text message and social media discourse, the period at the end of a short message has acquired connotations of finality, coldness, or passive aggression. "I'm fine" reads as neutral; "I'm fine." reads as threatening or wounded. McCulloch's research demonstrates that younger digital natives reliably interpret terminal periods in informal digital contexts as emotionally charged markers distinct from their grammatical function (McCulloch,

ISSN- 2395-7522 (Online), Imp. Fact.6.21 (IIJF)
Literary Cognizance:An International Refereed / Peer Reviewed
e - Journal of English Language, Literature & Criticism
Vol. – VI, Issue-4, March 2026

89–92). Ellipses (". . .") similarly shifted from indicating omission to signaling passive aggression or ominous trailing off. Exclamation points inflated in emotional currency, requiring multiple instances or all-caps to convey genuine excitement.

Capitalization developed a rich expressive grammar. ALL CAPS signals shouting, urgency, or comedic excess. Alternating CaPiTaLiZaTiOn (sometimes called "mocking SpongeBob" after a viral meme) indicates sarcastic mimicry. The deliberate absence of capitalization became, as noted, a register marker for irony or exhausted affect. These conventions function as a fully operational paralinguistic system—a way of conveying tone, volume, and emotional state through typographic choices that Standard Written English never required because it operated in contexts where tone was managed by other means.

Syntactically, social media has popularized several constructions that were previously marginal or substandard. The "X, but make it Y" construction ("academia, but make it cottagecore") encodes a juxtaposition logic that generates new meaning through implied recontextualization. The discourse-final "no cap" or "fr" (for real) functions as an evidential marker asserting sincerity. The use of "goes" for "says" in quoted speech narration ("and she goes, 'I literally cannot'") accelerated through digital storytelling. The single-word sentence as rhetorical unit—"Period." "Facts." "Bestie."—became a genre convention in digital argument and commentary, where terseness signals confidence rather than inadequacy. Taggart's analysis of Twitter corpora found that such constructions were internally consistent and rule-governed, suggesting emergent rather than deteriorating grammar (Taggart, 190).

Paralinguistic Innovation: Emoji, GIFs, and Multimodal Meaning:

Perhaps the most genuinely novel linguistic development of the social media era is the emergence of emoji as a paralinguistic system operating in parallel with—and sometimes replacing—verbal language. Emoji are not simply digital pictographs; they constitute a system of meaning-making with its own pragmatics, contextual variability, and community-specific conventions. The face with tears of joy emoji, consistently the most used emoji globally according to Unicode Consortium data, functions across registers ranging from genuine hilarity to nervous laughter to ironic commentary—its meaning determined not by any inherent content but by pragmatic context and community norms (Unicode Consortium n.p.).

Danesi's semiotics of emoji argues that they function as ideograms—units of meaning attached to concepts rather than sounds—and that their increasing grammatical sophistication represents a genuine expansion of the communicative toolkit rather than a retreat from verbal complexity (Danesi, 67). Emoji sequences can function as complete sentences (a woman + running + door emoji conveys fleeing a situation with no words required) and can introduce layers of irony unavailable to text (the smiling emoji used after a description of catastrophe signals forced positivity or dark humor in ways that the phrase "I'm fine" cannot capture without extensive surrounding context).

GIFs—looping video clips drawn from films, television, and original digital content—extend this paralinguistic functionality into the realm of narrative and affective quotation. To respond to a piece of news with a GIF of a character looking shocked, or to celebrate an achievement with a GIF of a specific dance, is to communicate through cultural reference in a way that draws on shared media fluency. The GIF keyboard, available across messaging platforms, has created what Gal, Shifman, and Kampf call a "reaction culture" in which emotional responses are performed through curated media objects rather than original language composition (Gal, 444). This is not a failure of expression but an expansion of expressive resources, albeit one that presupposes significant cultural literacy.

ISSN- 2395-7522 (Online), Imp. Fact.6.21 (IIJF)
Literary Cognizance:An International Refereed / Peer Reviewed
e - Journal of English Language, Literature & Criticism
Vol. – VI, Issue-4, March 2026

The sticker economy of platforms like WhatsApp, Line, and Telegram, particularly prominent in Asian digital communication cultures, represents yet another stratum of paralinguistic innovation. These platforms have demonstrated that the boundary between text-based and image-based communication is permeable and culturally determined, with profound implications for cross-cultural digital literacy.

AAVE, Digital Vernacular, and the Politics of Linguistic Borrowing:

No account of social media's impact on English would be complete without addressing the outsized and frequently unacknowledged role of African American Vernacular English (AAVE) in shaping the digital lexicon. A substantial proportion of the terms that have migrated most successfully from internet culture into mainstream usage—including "slay," "no cap," "lowkey," "highkey," "on fleek," "woke," "tea" (as in gossip), "shade," "clout," "ghosting," "throwing shade," "extra," and "lit"—originated in Black American communities, particularly Black queer communities, often via platforms like Twitter and Tumblr and through the broader lineage of ball culture documented in Paris Is Burning (Green, 128–130).

This pattern is not new—Black American innovation has driven American popular vocabulary for more than a century, from jazz-era slang through hip-hop's transformation of everyday speech—but social media has accelerated and made more visible both the borrowing and the attribution gap. As Florini argues, Black Twitter in particular functions as a counterpublic—a space where Black Americans develop and circulate not only language but political analysis, humor, and cultural production—whose linguistic contributions are regularly extracted, stripped of context, and distributed to wider audiences without acknowledgment or compensation (Florini, 224). The term "digital blackface," coined by researcher Lauren Michele Jackson, describes one dimension of this dynamic: the use of GIFs and memes featuring Black people to express emotion in ways that constitute a performance of Blackness divorced from its cultural origins.

The sociolinguistic stakes are significant. When AAVE terms enter mainstream digital discourse without attribution, the communities that generated them often lose the terms as markers of in-group identity while also failing to gain credit or cultural recognition. Meanwhile, when the same communities use AAVE in professional or academic contexts, they may face discrimination—a disparity that digital dissemination makes simultaneously more visible and more complex. The speed of social media amplification means that a term can travel from a small Black online community to a major advertising campaign within months, creating a commodification pipeline that raises urgent questions about linguistic justice.

These dynamics also intersect with debates about code-switching, digital identity performance, and the question of who gets to be a language innovator. Social media has made these questions impossible to avoid; platforms have become arenas where linguistic authority is contested, and where the historically marginalized can both innovate and be exploited at unprecedented scale.

Prescriptivism, Descriptivism, and the Digital Language Wars:

The tension between prescriptivist and descriptivist approaches to language—between the view that language should conform to established rules and the view that language is whatever speakers use—has been dramatically intensified by social media. Prescriptivists who decry the degradation of written English find abundant evidence on digital platforms: apostrophe misuse, sentence fragments, invented spellings, and the wholesale abandonment of formal register conventions in contexts (professional emails, journalism) where they were previously mandatory. Descriptivists, meanwhile, find equally compelling evidence that the apparent chaos conceals systematic innovation and that digital language users are not careless but creatively rule-bound in new ways.

ISSN- 2395-7522 (Online), Imp. Fact.6.21 (IIJF)
Literary Cognizance:An International Refereed / Peer Reviewed
e - Journal of English Language, Literature & Criticism
Vol. – VI, Issue-4, March 2026

The prescriptivist position has institutional weight. Schools continue to teach Standard Written English as the normative baseline, with social media communication treated as informal deviation rather than legitimate register. Standardized tests assess proficiency in SWE rather than in digital literacy. This creates a widening gap between the language young people use fluently and the language by which their intelligence and education are measured, with consequences that bear disproportionately on students whose home varieties of English diverge most from the standard—which is to say, disproportionately on Black, Hispanic, and working-class students (Smitherman, 5).

The descriptivist response is not that standards are irrelevant but that they are contextual. The register appropriate to a doctoral dissertation is genuinely different from the register appropriate to a WhatsApp group chat, and competent language users navigate these registers fluidly. The problem arises when one register is treated as inherently superior rather than as situationally dominant—when the ability to write formal prose is conflated with intelligence, and the ability to coin a viral neologism or craft a perfectly calibrated tweet is not recognized as a form of linguistic sophistication.

Crystal's influential position is that the internet has not reduced linguistic richness but shifted its distribution: formal writing has declined in some everyday contexts while new forms of expressive writing have multiplied (Crystal, 175). The net effect, on this account, may be an expansion rather than a contraction of English's expressive repertoire. This view is gaining traction among linguists, though it remains contested in educational and journalistic discourse where anxiety about standards continues to generate regular moral panics about the decline of literacy.

Globalization, English Hegemony, and the Digital Linguistic Order:

Social media's impact on English cannot be analyzed in isolation from the broader dynamics of English's global dominance. With approximately 1.5 billion speakers worldwide, English is the default language of international digital communication, and the major social media platforms—designed by American companies, governed by American corporations, and algorithmically optimized for English-language engagement—reproduce and reinforce English's hegemonic position in the digital public sphere. This creates a complex dynamic in which English absorbs vocabulary and grammatical structures from the world's languages while also exerting homogenizing pressure on non-English-language digital communities.

The flow of influence is not unidirectional. English digital discourse has absorbed Spanglish constructions from Latin American Twitter, K-pop fandom vocabulary from Korean fan communities (including the term "stan" itself, which traveled from Eminem's 2000 song through fan culture into general usage), Japanese loanwords through anime and gaming communities ("senpai," "OP" meaning overpowered, "waifu"), and Indian English constructions through the large and influential Indian social media presence. This lexical polyculturalism is one of the more remarkable features of contemporary digital English—it is simultaneously the language of global corporate power and a linguistic sponge absorbing the world.

Non-English speakers frequently code-switch within social media platforms, producing hybrid texts that violate the monolingual assumptions of platform designs while demonstrating the linguistic creativity of multilingual users. As Androutsopoulos argues, digital code-switching is not a sign of inadequate command of either language but a sophisticated performance of layered identity (Androutsopoulos, 282). The hashtag, ostensibly a categorizing device, has been adapted by multilingual communities to navigate between linguistic worlds in ways that standard search and discovery architectures do not accommodate.

The geopolitical dimensions of this dynamic are significant. When the platforms that mediate global discourse are designed in English, optimized for English, and governed by

ISSN- 2395-7522 (Online), Imp. Fact.6.21 (IIJF)
Literary Cognizance:An International Refereed / Peer Reviewed
e - Journal of English Language, Literature & Criticism
Vol. – VI, Issue-4, March 2026

predominantly American cultural assumptions, they create structural advantages for English-language content production and structural disadvantages for speakers of other languages. This is not merely a linguistic equity concern but a democratic one, since these platforms have become the primary arenas of political discourse in many societies.

Cognitive and Psychological Dimensions of Digital Communication:

The compression imperatives of social media—the character limit, the scroll, the five-second hook—have cognitive as well as linguistic implications. Research on reading behavior in digital environments indicates that users read in patterns more fragmentary than those typical of long-form text: the F-pattern scan, the rapid abandonment of posts that do not immediately reward attention, and the perpetual availability of alternative content all shape not only how language is read but how it is composed. Writers optimizing for social media audiences learn intuitively to front-load their most significant content, to use visual breaks and short sentences, and to employ the linguistic features—directness, emotional salience, novelty—that resist the scroll (Carr, 90).

These adaptations have prompted concern among cognitive scientists and educators about their transfer effects. If users develop reading and writing habits calibrated to social media's attention economy, does this impair their capacity for the sustained attention required by long-form argument and complex text? Carr's widely cited argument in The Shallows contends that internet use, including social media, is restructuring neural pathways in ways that prioritize rapid scanning over deep reading (Carr, 120). This argument has been contested by researchers who note that the evidence for permanent cognitive restructuring is weaker than popular accounts suggest, and that each new communication technology has prompted similar fears—the novel was once believed to damage young women's capacity for rational thought.

Nevertheless, the question of how digital communication habits affect linguistic development, particularly in adolescents, is empirically open and pedagogically urgent. Studies of student writing have documented increased sentence fragmentation and decreased use of complex subordinate clauses in some cohorts, though it remains difficult to disentangle social media's contribution from broader shifts in reading culture, educational practice, and economic conditions that reduce leisure reading time (Nagle, 65).

Conclusion:

The impact of social media on contemporary English communication is not a story of decline but of transformation—rapid, democratic, politically complex, and genuinely unprecedented in its scale and speed. Platform affordances have co-produced new linguistic conventions; the viral dynamics of social networks have accelerated neologism formation and propagation; emoji and GIF culture have extended the expressive toolkit beyond the verbal; AAVE has driven the most influential lexical innovations while facing the injustice of unacknowledged appropriation; the prescriptivist-descriptivist debate has been rendered newly urgent; and English's global digital dominance has created both opportunities for linguistic cross-pollination and structural inequities. The most productive frame for understanding these changes is neither celebration nor alarm but the same descriptive rigor that linguistics has always brought to language change. Languages evolve in response to the communicative needs and conditions of their speakers; social media has changed those conditions more dramatically and rapidly than any previous communication technology, and English has responded with characteristic adaptability. The language that emerges from these pressures is not degraded—it is different, and in many respects richer for the difference. What is required is not the defense of a fixed standard but the development of multilingual, multiregister literacy that equips speakers to move fluently across the full spectrum of contemporary English—from the formal academic essay to the perfectly calibrated tweet, from

ISSN- 2395-7522 (Online), Imp. Fact.6.21 (IIJF)
Literary Cognizance:An International Refereed / Peer Reviewed
e - Journal of English Language, Literature & Criticism
Vol. – VI, Issue-4, March 2026

the job application cover letter to the viral TikTok caption. This is a larger and more demanding educational task than the maintenance of Standard Written English alone, but it is the task that the digital age has set, and meeting it is essential for both individual flourishing and democratic participation in an increasingly digital public sphere.

Future research should attend to the longitudinal effects of social media language exposure on syntactic complexity and reading comprehension; to the comparative dynamics of non-English digital languages; to the mechanisms by which linguistic innovations from marginalized communities travel to mainstream adoption; and to the ways in which algorithmic curation shapes language change by determining which linguistic forms receive amplification. The field of digital linguistics is young, its subject matter is in constant motion, and the questions it raises are among the most important in contemporary language study.

References

- Algeo, John, and Thomas Pyles. *The Origins and Development of the English Language*. 6th ed., Cengage Learning, 2010.
- Androutsopoulos, Jannis. "Networked Multilingualism: Some Language Practices on Facebook and Their Implications." *International Journal of Bilingualism*, vol. 19, no. 2, 2015.
- Bergs, Alexander, and Sylvia Moosmüller Pfenninger. "Digital Communication and Lexical Change." *Language and Linguistics Compass*, vol. 17, no. 4, 2023.
- Carr, Nicholas. *The Shallows: What the Internet Is Doing to Our Brains*. W. W. Norton, 2010.
- Crystal, David. *Language and the Internet*. 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- Danesi, Marcel. *The Semiotics of Emoji: The Rise of Visual Language in the Age of the Internet*. Bloomsbury Academic, 2017.
- Florini, Sarah. "Tweets, Tweeps, and Signifyin': Communication and Cultural Performance on 'Black Twitter.'" *Television & New Media*, vol. 15, no. 3, 2014.
- Gal, Noam, Limor Shifman, and Zohar Kampf. "'It Gets Better': Internet Memes and the Construction of Collective Identity." *New Media & Society*, vol. 18, no. 8, 2016.
- Green, Lisa J. *African American English: A Linguistic Introduction*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- Jackson, Lauren Michele. "We Need to Talk About Digital Blackface in Reaction GIFs." *Teen Vogue*, 2 Aug. 2017, www.teenvogue.com/story/digital-blackface-reaction-gifs.
- McCulloch, Gretchen. *Because Internet: Understanding the New Rules of Language*. Riverhead Books, 2019.
- Nagle, Thomas. "Social Media and Academic Writing: An Empirical Study of College Student Prose." *Computers and Composition*, vol. 49, 2018.
- Smitherman, Geneva. *Talkin That Talk: Language, Culture and Education in African America*. Routledge, 2000.
- Taggart, Caroline. *Her Ladyship's Guide to the Queen's English*. National Trust Books, 2010.
- Trudgill, Peter. *Sociolinguistics: An Introduction to Language and Society*. 4th ed., Penguin Books, 2000.
- Unicode Consortium. "Emoji Counts, v15.1." *Unicode Technical Standard*, 2023, home.unicode.org/emoji/charts/emoji-counts.html. Accessed 10 Mar. 2026.
- Zappavigna, Michele. *Discourse of Twitter and Social Media: How We Use Language to Create Affiliation on the Web*. Bloomsbury Academic, 2012.

Article Received:15/03/2026
Article Accepted:23/03/2026
Published Online:30/03/2026

ISSN- 2395-7522 (Online), Imp. Fact.6.21 (IIJF)
Literary Cognizance:An International Refereed / Peer Reviewed
e - Journal of English Language, Literature & Criticism
Vol. – VI, Issue-4, March 2026

To Cite the Article: Samson, Sharon and Goyal, Govind. "Digital Discourse and Language Evolution: The Impact of Social Media on Contemporary English Communication." *Literary Cognizance: An International Refereed/Peer Reviewed e-Journal of English Language, Literature and Criticism*, Vol.-VI, Issue-4, March, 2026, 107-115. www. literarycognizance.com